UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,476	09/19/2003	Sujit Sharan	042390p11355C	8873
59796 7590 05/30/2008 INTEL CORPORATION c/o INTELLEVATE, LLC			EXAMINER	
			SMITH, NICHOLAS A	
P.O. BOX 52050 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/666,476	SHARAN, SUJIT	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NICHOLAS A. SMITH	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tute, cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ TI 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 9,10 and 16-28 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 9,10 and 16-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica riority documents have been receiv eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/666,476 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Claims 9-10 and 16-28 remain for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 9, 10 and 16-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uzoh et al. (US Patent 5,807,165).
- 4. Uzoh et al. is applied to the claims for the same reasons as stated in paragraph(s) 5-8 and 11-14 of the previous office action.
- 5. In regards to claim amendment "a segmented anode disposed between a rear surface of said wafer and said wafer carrier, said segmented anode being partitioned into small components that may be adjusted separately to change polishing rates for different materials," Uzoh et al. does teach a segmented anode disposed between said wafer and said wafer carrier (Fig. 8-10), particularly between the side of the wafer and the inside portion of the wafer carrier. Furthermore, Uzoh et al. teaches a segmented anode disposed between a rear surface of said wafer and said wafer carrier, in particular, a segmented anode (Fig. 8, 67) is between a portion of wafer carrier (66), for instance the left side of 66 (encircled by area 9 on Fig. 8) and a rear surface of said wafer (the top surface of W) in the region R. Furthermore, the anode is capable of

Application/Control Number: 10/666,476

Art Unit: 1795

changing polishing rates for different materials since different materials have different potentials and are thus polished at different rates (col. 5, lines 33-40).

Page 3

- 6. In regards to claim 9 amendment "a computer to vary said voltage to improve uniformity of said polishing rates," Uzoh et al. discloses such a computer (column 5, lines 10-33), and such a computer is inherently capable of improving uniformity of polishing rates by controlling the voltage, in that polishing rate is dependent on the metal layer being polished, electrolyte composition, mechanical polishing pressure, polishing pad rotation speed and potential applied to the electrode. With all other variables being equal, a polishing rate would be more uniform as a computer maintains a voltage applied.
- 7. In regards to claim(s) 9 amendment "a segmented cathode disposed around edge of said polishing pad," Uzoh et al. discloses such a cathode in that a slip ring that encircles the edge of a polishing pad is conductive and therefore is a cathode (col. 6, lines 11-25).
- 8. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uzoh et al. in view of Emesh et al. (US 6,572,755).
- 9. Uzoh et al. in view of Emesh et al. is applied to the claims for the same reasons as stated in paragraph(s) 15-17 of the previous office action.
- 10. Claims 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uzoh et al. in view of Parikh et al. (US 2003/0040830).
- 11. Uzoh et al. in view of Parikh et al. is applied to the claims for the same reasons as stated in paragraph(s) 18-20 of the previous office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,476 Page 4

Art Unit: 1795

12. Claims 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uzoh et al. in view of Walters et al. (US 6,767,427).

13. Uzoh et al. in view of Walters et al. is applied to the claims for the same reasons as stated in paragraph(s) 22-23 of the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments filed 18 January 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In regards to Applicant's argument that a cathode does not encircle the polishing pad, please see paragraph 7 above. In regards to Applicant's argument that Uzoh et al. does not teach a segment anode between rear surface of a wafer and a wafer carrier, please see paragraph 5 above.

Conclusion

- 15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 16. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/666,476 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas A. Smith whose telephone number is (571)-272-8760. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM, Monday

through Friday.

18. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Susy Tsang-Foster can be reached on (571)-272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NAS /Susy N Tsang-Foster/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795 Application/Control Number: 10/666,476

Page 6

Art Unit: 1795